Filed 05/19/2009

Page 1 of 1

№ AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8
Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

| filed in the U.S. I | District Court Northern D | strict of California on the following X Patents or | ☐ Trademarks: |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| DOCKET NO. | DATE FILED | U.S. DISTRICT COURT | |
| C-09-2193-EDL | May 19, 2009 | Office of the Clerk, 450 Golden Gate Ave., 16th Floor | r San Francisco CA 94102 |
| PLAINTIFF HARRY J. LAST, ET | | POOL COVER SPECIALISTS N | |
| PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. | DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK | HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRAIL | DEMARK |
| 1 5,349,707 | | | |
| 2 6,496,990 | | "Pls. See Attached Copy of Con | ıplaint" |
| 3 7,114,297 | Amorros | | |
| 4 | Access to a | | |
| 5 | | \$ | |
| PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. | DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK | endment | |
| TRADEMARK NO. | | HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRAIL | DEMARK |
| 2 - | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| In the abo | ove—entitled case, the follow | ng decision has been rendered or judgement issued: | |
| | | • | |
| | | | |
| CLERK | | BY) DEPUTY CLERK | DATE |

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

PARTIES

- 2. Last is an individual residing in Kailua, Hawaii.
- 3. AMCS is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, with its principal place of business at 200 Mayock Road, Gilroy, California 95020. AMCS is the exclusive licensee of the Last Patents.
- 4. PCS is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Utah, with its principal place of business at 8553 S 2940 W, West Jordan, Utah 84088.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This action for patent infringement and declaratory judgment arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. § 271 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over PCS because PCS conducts business in California and has infringed, has contributed to the infringement of, has actively induced, continues to infringe, continues to contribute to the infringement of, and/or continues to actively induce others to infringe the Last Patents as alleged below.
- 7. There is an actual and justiciable controversy within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 because on February 16, 2009 PCS sent AMCS a letter alleging that AMCS infringed the '297 patent and threatening to sue AMCS in connection with that alleged infringement.
- 8. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this judicial district and because PCS is either resident in or otherwise subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

9. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and the Court's Assignment Plan, because this action is an Intellectual Property Action, it is properly assigned to any of the divisions in this judicial district.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,349,707)

- 10. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as though fully set forth.
- 11. On September 27, 1994, U.S. Patent No. 5,349,707 entitled "Split Stop for Automatic Swimming Pool Covers with a Hydraulic Drive System" was duly and legally issued to Last. A true and correct copy of the '707 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.
- 12. PCS (1) has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '707 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing infringing products in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the infringement of the '707 patent, and/or actively induced others to infringe the '707 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.
- 13. PCS' actions constitute infringement, active inducement of infringement, and/or contributory infringement of the '707 patent in violation of 35 U.S. § 271.
- 14. Plaintiffs have sustained damages and will continue to sustain damages as a result of the above-described acts of infringement.
- 15. PCS' continued infringement of the '707 patent has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiffs irreparable harm unless enjoined by the Court.
 - 16. PCS' infringement of the '707 patent has been willful and deliberate.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,496,990)

- 17. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16, inclusive, as though fully set forth.
- 18. On December 24, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,496,990 entitled "Extruded Track Construct Component System for Swimming Pool Cover Systems" was duly and legally issued to Last. A true and correct copy of the '990 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated by reference.

- 19. PCS (1) has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '990 patent by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing infringing products in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the infringement of the '990 patent, and/or actively induced others to infringe the '990 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.
- 20. PCS' actions constitute infringement, active inducement of infringement, and/or contributory infringement of the '990 patent in violation of 35 U.S. § 271.
- 21. Plaintiffs have sustained damages and will continue to sustain damages as a result of the above-described acts of infringement.
- 22. PCS' continued infringement of the '990 patent has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiffs irreparable harm unless enjoined by the Court.
 - 23. PCS' infringement of the '990 patent has been willful and deliberate.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaration of Non-Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,114,297)

- 24. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, as though fully set forth.
- 25. PCS is the owner of record of U.S. Patent No. 7,114, 297 entitled "Radius Corner Plate for a Pool." A true and correct copy of the '297 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated by reference.
- 26. AMCS has not infringed and is not now infringing either literally or by application of the doctrine of equivalents any claim of the '297 patent.
- 27. AMCS has not induced and is not now inducing or contributing to the infringement of any claim of the '297 patent by others either directly or indirectly, or literally or by application of the doctrine of equivalents.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaration of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,114,297)

28. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, as though fully set forth.

Each claim of the '297 patent is invalid because the patent and the alleged 29. invention therein fails to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S. §§ 101 et seq., including but not limited to, 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:

- That the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against PCS; (a)
- (b) An adjudication that PCS has infringed and continues to infringe the Last Patents as alleged above;
 - An accounting to determine damages from PCS' patent infringement; (c)
 - An award of damages for PCS' patent infringement; (d)
- (e) An assessment and award of interest, including prejudgment interest, on the patent infringement damages determined;
 - A trebling of the patent infringement damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; **(f)**
- A declaratory judgment that AMCS is not liable for infringement of the (g) '297 patent;
 - A declaratory judgment that the claims of the '297 patent are invalid; (h)
- (i) A finding that this case is an exceptional case and an award of Plaintiffs' costs and attorney fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- A permanent injunction preventing further infringement, contributory (i) infringement and inducement of infringement of the Last Patents; and
 - Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper and just. (k)

Dated: May 19, 2009

LAW OFFICE OF DEREK A. ELETICH

DEREK A. ELETICH

Attorney for Plaintiffs HARRY J. LAST and AMCS, INC.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs HARRY J. LAST and AMCS, INC. hereby demand trial by jury of all

issues triable by right of jury.

Dated: May 19, 2009

LAW OFFICE OF DEREK A. ELETICH

By: On 6. White

DEREK A. ELETICH

Attorney for Plaintiffs HARRY J. LAST and AMCS, INC.